

An Interpolation between Homology and Stable Homotopy

Sadok Kallel*

Abstract

By considering labeled configurations of “bounded multiplicity”, one can construct a functor that fits between homology and stable homotopy. Based on previous work, we are able to give an equivalent description of this labeled construction in terms of loop space functors and symmetric products. This yields a direct generalization of the May-Milgram model for iterated loop spaces, and answers questions of Carlsson and Milgram posed in the handbook. We give a classifying space formulation of our results hence extending an older result of Segal. We finally relate our labeled construction to a theory of Lesh and give a generalization of a well-known theorem of Quillen, Barratt and Priddy.

§1 Introduction

In their paper in the *Handbook of algebraic topology*, G. Carlsson and R.J. Milgram ([CM], §7) consider the following space: Fix $k \geq 1$, $d \geq 1$ and let $F^d(\mathbb{R}^k, n)$ be the space of ordered n -tuples of vectors in $\mathbb{R}^k$ so that no vector occurs more than d times in the n -tuple. When $d = 1$, $F^1(\mathbb{R}^k, n)$ is the usual ordered configuration space $F(\mathbb{R}^k, n)$ consisting of vectors of $\mathbb{R}^k$ with disjoint coordinates (cf. §2).

Observe that the space $F^d(\mathbb{R}^k, n) \subset (\mathbb{R}^k)^n$, for a fixed $n \geq 1$, admits an action of the n -th symmetric group Σ_n given by permuting coordinates. Let X be a topological space with a chosen basepoint $*$. We can then associate to the collection $\mathcal{F} = \{F^d(\mathbb{R}^k, n)\}_{n \geq 0}$ a “labeled” construction

$$1.1 \quad C^d(\mathbb{R}^k, X) = \coprod_{n \geq 0} F^d(\mathbb{R}^k, n) \times_{\Sigma_n} X^n / \sim$$

where $\sim$ is a (standard) basepoint identification described in §2, and where $\times_{\Sigma_n}$ denotes the orbit space under the permutation actions of Σ_n . (We make the convention here that the term corresponding to $n = 0$ is basepoint.) The space $C^d(\mathbb{R}^k, X)$ is (path) connected whenever X is.

The authors in [CM] now raise the question of determining the homotopy type of $C^d(\mathbb{R}^k, X)$ for connected X and $d > 1$. The case $d = 1$ having been long known (see 1.3 below), an earlier attempt to answer their question for the case $d = 2$ was carried out by Karagueuzian [Kr].

*The author holds a Postdoctoral fellowship with PIMS and the University of British Columbia.

Let $SP^d(-)$ be the d -th symmetric product functor. This we recall is defined as the quotient $SP^d(X) = X^d/\Sigma_d$ where Σ_d acts by permuting coordinates. Our first main result takes the form

Theorem 1.2: *Let X be (path) connected and CW. Then there are homotopy equivalences for all $d \geq 1$;*

$$C^d(\mathbb{R}^k; X) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \Omega^k(SP^d(\Sigma^k X)).$$

Corollary 1.3 (May-Milgram): *Suppose X path connected and CW. Then $C^1(\mathbb{R}^k, X) \simeq \Omega^k \Sigma^k X$, where $\Omega^k \Sigma^k(X)$ is the iterated k -fold loop space on the k -fold suspension of the space X .*

Corollary 1.4: In the case $d = k = 1$, the space $C(\mathbb{R}; X)$ (X connected) is homotopy equivalent to the James construction $J(X)$ described as the free monoid on points of X with $*$ as the zero element (see §6). The equivalence 1.2 in this case yields James' theorem

$$J(X) \simeq \Omega \Sigma X.$$

Remark 1.5: It must be pointed out that an analogue of theorem 1.2 based on little cubes of Boardman-Vogt has been obtained independently by Fumiko Kato in her Master's thesis with D. Tamaki. Our result also appears to have been known to F. Cohen and C.F. Bodigheimer (although no proof of it has been published.)

Remark 1.6: Of course the space $\mathbb{R}^k$ in the construction 1.1. can be replaced by any "ground" manifold M . When $M = *$ is the one point space, Σ_n acting trivially, the labeled space

$$\coprod_n * \times_{\Sigma_n} X^n / \sim$$

is identified with the infinite symmetric product $SP^\infty(X)$ (see §2). It is well-known ([DT]) that $\pi_*(SP^\infty(X)) \cong \tilde{H}_*(X; \mathbb{Z})$. On the other hand and when $M = \mathbb{R}^\infty$, theorem 1.3 shows that $C^1(\mathbb{R}^\infty, X) \simeq \Omega^\infty \Sigma^\infty(X) = Q(X)$ and hence that $\pi_*(C^1(\mathbb{R}^\infty, X)) \cong \pi_*^s(X)$. From this perspective, the labeled constructs $C^d(M, -)$ for $d > 1$ turn out to provide intermediate functors between stable homotopy and integral homology. This fact, which also explains our choice of title, provides an extra incentive for the study of these functors.

In general, replace $\mathbb{R}^k$ in 1.1 by an open manifold M or by a compact M with non-empty boundary. The following theorem extends a result of Bodigheimer ([Bo])

Theorem 1.7: *Let M be a k -dimensional manifold, smooth and stably parallelizable, with boundary $\partial M \neq \emptyset$. Assume X (path) connected. Then there is a homotopy equivalence*

$$C^d(M; X) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \text{Map}(M, \partial M; SP^d(\Sigma^k X))$$

where the mapping space on the right corresponds to all maps of M into $SP^d(\Sigma^k X)$ sending ∂M to basepoint.

We next extend theorem 1.2 to the case when X is disconnected. We observe (after Segal) that $C^d(\mathbb{R}^k; X)$ is homotopy equivalent to an associative topological monoid $\bar{C}^d(\mathbb{R}^k; X)$. This monoid admits a classifying space $B\bar{C}$ and we show (compare [S2] for the case $d = 1$)

Theorem 1.8: *Let X be a topological space. Then there is a weak homotopy equivalence*

$$B\bar{C}^d(\mathbb{R}^k; X) \xrightarrow{\simeq_w} \Omega^{k-1}(SP^d(\Sigma^k X)).$$

Remark 1.9: The case $X = S^0$ has been studied earlier in [K] and in [GKY]. One writes $C^d(\mathbb{R}^k, S^0) = \coprod C^d(\mathbb{R}^k, i)$ and this is a disconnected topological monoid (up to homotopy) and hence we group complete it as follows. For $i \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, we define the space $\mathbb{R}_i^k$ to be the subset of $\mathbb{R}^k$ given as follows

$$\mathbb{R}_i^k = \{(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k, \mid 0 < x_k < i\}.$$

Clearly $F^d(\mathbb{R}^k, n) \cong F^d(\mathbb{R}_i^k, n)$ and for each i we have an inclusion

$$\iota_i : F^d(\mathbb{R}_i^k, n) \longrightarrow F^d(\mathbb{R}_{i+1}^k, n+1), \zeta \mapsto \zeta + z_i$$

for some chosen $z_i \in (i, i+1)$ (here $+$ refers to juxtaposition). The direct limit of the ι_i is denoted by $F_\infty^d(\mathbb{R}^k)$. Of course this space admits an action of Σ_∞ and the quotient we denote by $C_\infty^d(\mathbb{R}^k)$.

Theorem 1.10: [K], [GKY] *Assume $d > 1$. Then there is a homotopy equivalence*

$$C_\infty^d(\mathbb{R}^k) \simeq \Omega_0^k SP^d(S^k)$$

where Ω_0^k refers to the component of degree 0 maps. When $d = 1$, one has instead a homology equivalence (a classical result of Segal)

$$H_*(C_\infty^1(\mathbb{R}^k); \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_*(\Omega_0^k S^k; \mathbb{Z})$$

To see how one can recover both 1.2 and 1.10 from theorem 1.8, assume first that X is connected. In this case, $\bar{C} = \bar{C}^d(\mathbb{R}^k; X)$ is also connected and hence $\Omega B\bar{C} \simeq \bar{C}$. This then yields theorem 1.2. Theorem 1.10 on the other hand is deduced from 1.8 by letting $X = S^0$ and using the group completion theorem (cf. [KP], [MS]).

In proving the theorems above we avoid entirely the theory of operads (cf. [Ma]), iterated loop spaces (cf. [CM]) or classifying spaces as in [S2]. Instead we use an interesting shortcut construction given in the form of the “scanning” map. Such a construction has had much interesting use recently (cf. [K], [GKY], [S1]) and one aim of this paper is to advertize some of the ideas there.

The second part of this paper ties the above results to recent work of K. Lesh [L1-2]. First notice that when $d = 1$, then $C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^\infty)$ is $B\Sigma_\infty$ up to homotopy. The space $\coprod_{k \geq 0} B\Sigma_k$ has the structure of a disconnected monoid with composition being induced from the pairings $\Sigma_n \times \Sigma_m \rightarrow \Sigma_{n+m}$.

The Baratt-Priddy-Quillen (BPQ) theorem states that

$$1.11 \quad B \left(\coprod_{k \geq 1} B\Sigma_k \right) \simeq \Omega^{\infty-1} S^{\infty}$$

Alternatively, one recalls that $\Omega B(\coprod B\Sigma_k)$ is the “group completion” Gp of $\coprod_{k \geq 0} B\Sigma_k$. The B-P-Q theorem now states that $Gp(\coprod B\Sigma_k) \simeq QS^0$ and if Gp_0 is anyone of the components of Gp (indexed by $\mathbb{Z}$), then there are isomorphisms

$$H_*(Gp_0) \cong H_*(QS_0^0) \cong H_*(B\Sigma_{\infty}) = H_*(C_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{\infty}))$$

where QS_0^0 is any component of $\Omega^{\infty} S^{\infty}$. From this point of view, the space $C_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{\infty})$ is closely associated with the group completion of the “family” of groups $\Sigma_k, k \geq 1$. Is there an analog of 1.11 for $C_{\infty}^d(\mathbb{R}^{\infty})$, $d > 1$? Equivalently, which group completion does the space $C_{\infty}^d(\mathbb{R}^{\infty})$, $d > 1$ describe?

In §5, we introduce the notion of a “family” of subgroups and of their classifying spaces (a construction due to T. tom Dieck). It turns out that given “compatible” families $\mathcal{F}_n$ (each consisting of a collection of subgroups of Σ_n), one can associate to them a topological monoid $\coprod B\mathcal{F}_n$ of which group completion is an infinite loop space ([L1]). As a special case, we consider the collection of subgroups

$$H_{i_1, \dots, i_k} = \Sigma_{i_1} \times \Sigma_{i_2} \times \dots \times \Sigma_{i_k}, \quad i_1 + i_2 + \dots + i_k = n$$

of Σ_n . We define a “family” $\mathcal{F}_n^d$ to consist of all $H_{i_1, \dots, i_k}$ with $i_j \leq d$ and $i_1 + i_2 + \dots + i_k = n$, together with their subgroups. For every $n \geq 1$, the family $\mathcal{F}_n^d$ affords a classifying space construction $E\mathcal{F}_n^d$ (that is there is a Σ_n space $E\mathcal{F}_n^d$ such that the fixed point set under the action of $H_{i_1, \dots, i_k} \in \mathcal{F}_n^d$ is contractible, and otherwise it is empty). The quotient spaces $B\mathcal{F}_n^d = E\mathcal{F}_n^d / \Sigma_n$, $n \geq 1$, are compatible in the sense that the disjoint union $\coprod B\mathcal{F}_n^d$ has the structure of a topological monoid. We now prove (cf. §5)

Proposition 1.12: *For all $d \geq 1$, we have the following equivalence*

$$B \left(\coprod_{n \geq 1} B\mathcal{F}_n^d \right) \simeq \Omega^{\infty-1} SP^d(S^{\infty}).$$

Again one may reinterpret this result by writing that $H_*(Gp_0) \cong H_*(C_{\infty}^d(\mathbb{R}^{\infty}))$, where Gp_0 is any component of $\Omega B(\coprod_{n \geq 1} B\mathcal{F}_n^d)$. When $d = 1$, $\mathcal{F}_n$ is the trivial family (consisting of the trivial subgroup in Σ_n), $B\mathcal{F}_n = B\Sigma_n$ and one recovers 1.11 this way. We note that theorem 1.12 is quite closely related to proposition 7.4 of [L2].

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS: The family of subgroups $\mathcal{F}_n^d$ mentioned above and defined in §5 was suggested to the author by Kathryn Lesh and the results of that section follow essentially from a discussion with her. The author is grateful to her for discussing her work with him and for commenting on an early version of this paper. We also thank Dai Tamaki and in particular Denis Sjerve for his support and for many stimulating discussions.

§2. Labeled Constructions in Topology

Constructions of the form

$$2.1 \quad \coprod_{n \geq 1} F(n) \times_{\Sigma_n} X^n / \sim$$

where $F(n)$ is a space admitting a Σ_n action and $\sim$ some suitable identification, are called *labeled constructions*. The space X is the *label space*. When $F(n)$ is some subspace of M^n where M is some “ground” space, 2.1 is referred to as a labeled *configuration space construction*. The first constructions of the sort trace their origin to the work of Milgram on iterated loop spaces ([Mi]).

Notation and Terminology: Traditionally, a configuration of points of M is any finite set of points of M (that is *unordered* and *disjoint* points). However it is better to enlarge this terminology to include symmetric products as well; that is unordered points $\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle$, $x_i \in M$, with the x_i not necessarily distinct. We write $\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle$ or $\sum n_i x_i$ to describe a configuration.

Example 2.2: The “Fadell” space: $F(M, n) = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mid x_i \in M, x_i \neq x_j, i \neq j\}$.

The first basic labeled (configuration) space construction one encounters in topology is the infinite symmetric product construction. Let X be any space with a basepoint $x_0 \in X$. We denote by Σ_n the n -th symmetric group. Finally let $*$ be the one point space. Then we can consider the quotient space

$$SP^\infty(X) = \coprod_{k \geq 1} * \times_{\Sigma_k} X^k / \sim$$

where Σ_k acts on $*$ trivially and on X^k by permuting coordinates, and where $\sim$ is the (basepoint) identification

$$* \times_{\Sigma_k} (x_1, \dots, x_k) \sim * \times_{\Sigma_{k-1}} (x_1, \dots, \hat{x}_i, \dots, x_k), \quad \text{whenever } x_i = x_0.$$

Here $\hat{x}_i$ means deleting the i -th entry.

Similarly, it turns out that stable homotopy also admits a labeled configuration space construction. This is given by the model

$$Q(X) = \coprod_{n \geq 1} F(\mathbb{R}^\infty, n) \times_{\Sigma_n} X^n / \sim$$

where $F(\mathbb{R}^\infty, n) \subset (\mathbb{R}^\infty)^n$ is as defined in 2.2 and where $\sim$ is the basepoint relation

$$2.4 \quad (m_1, \dots, m_n) \times_{\Sigma_n} (x_1, \dots, x_n) \sim (m_1, \dots, \hat{m}_i, \dots, m_n) \times_{\Sigma_{n-1}} (x_1, \dots, \hat{x}_i, \dots, x_n) \quad \text{if } x_i = x_0.$$

A stable version of the theorem of May and Milgram described in 1.3. gives that $Q(X) \simeq \Omega^\infty \Sigma^\infty X$ for connected X and this of course implies that $\pi_i(Q(X)) \cong \pi_i^s(X)$.

Remark 2.5: The space $F(\mathbb{R}^\infty, n)$ above is characterized by the fact that it is contractible and admitting a *free* Σ_n action. One can choose $F(\mathbb{R}^\infty, n)$ to be a model for $E\Sigma_n$ the acyclic bar

construction on Σ_n and hence the quotient space

$$C(\mathbb{R}^\infty, n) = F(\mathbb{R}^\infty, n)/\Sigma_n$$

is identified with the classifying space $B\Sigma_n$. Naturally the constant map $F(\mathbb{R}^\infty, n) \rightarrow *$ induces an equivariant map $F(\mathbb{R}^\infty, n) \times X^n \rightarrow * \times X^n$ and hence a map $QX \rightarrow SP^\infty(X)$ which turn out to be “a space level representation” of the Hurewicz map

$$\pi_i^s(X) \rightarrow H_i(X).$$

Remark 2.6: One notices that singular homology and stable homotopy sit at opposite ends of this labeled configuration space construction. Indeed, the basepoint $*$ which one associates to integral homology, is a space which admits the “most unfree” action of Σ_n possible. On the other hand, $F(\mathbb{R}^\infty, n)$ which is associated to π_*^s , is a space on which Σ_n acts freely. Naturally one can consider “in between” spaces $F(n)$;

$$* \subset F(n) \subset F(\mathbb{R}^\infty, n)$$

which admit an action of Σ_n and obtain in this way some interpolations between both theories. This paper deals with one particular such interpolation.

§3 The functor $C^d(-; X)$ and Its Completion

We assume M to be a connected manifold (either open or compact with non-empty boundary.) Let $F^d(M, n) \subset M^n$ be the set of n -tuples of points of M such that no point occurs more than d times in the tuple;

$$F^d(M, n) = \bigcup \left\{ \underbrace{(x_1, \dots, x_1)}_{i_1}, \underbrace{(x_2, \dots, x_2)}_{i_2}, \dots, \underbrace{(x_k, \dots, x_k)}_{i_k} \mid x_i \in M, x_i \neq x_j, i \neq j, \right. \\ \left. \text{and } i_j \leq d, \ i_1 + \dots + i_k = n \right\}.$$

When $d = 1$, $F^1(M, n)$ is just the space $F(M, n)$ described in 2.2 and one has the filtration

$$F(M, n) = F^1(M, n) \subset F^2(M, n) \subset \dots \subset F^n(M, n) = M^n.$$

EXAMPLE: Let $M = \mathbb{R}^2$. Then $C^d(\mathbb{R}^2, n)$ consists of n points in the plane each having multiplicity less than d . Each such configuration is uniquely identified with the roots of a monic complex polynomial and so $C^d(\mathbb{R}^2, n)$ is identified with the set of degree n complex (monic) polynomials having roots of multiplicity less than d ; a space originally studied by Vassiliev.

As before, the family $\{F^d(M, n)\}_{n \geq 0}$ admits a labeled space construction

$$3.1 \quad C^d(M; X) = \coprod_n F^d(M, n) \times_{\Sigma_n} X^n / \sim$$

where $\sim$ is the basepoint relation described in 2.4. Notice that this labeled construction is a subset of $SP^\infty(M \ltimes X)$ where $M \ltimes X$ is the half-smash obtained from $M \times X$ by collapsing $M \times *$. To

see this inclusion, simply rewrite an element $(m_1, \dots, m_n) \times_{\Sigma_n} (x_1, \dots, x_n)$, $m_i \in M$, $x_i \in X$, in the form $\sum^n (m_i, x_i)$.

The labeled construction 3.1 defines a bifunctor $C^d(-; -)$ which is a homotopy functor in X and an isotopy functor in M (that is M must be in the category of spaces and injective maps). Of course when $X = S^0$, we write

$$C^d(M, S^0) := C^d(M) = \coprod_{k \geq 0} F^d(M, k).$$

We observe that we can put a monoid structure on $C^d(\mathbb{R}^k, X)$ up to homotopy. This is done as follows: Let $\mathbb{R}_t^k$ be given as in §1;

$$3.2 \quad \mathbb{R}_t^k = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^k, \mid 0 < x_n < t\}$$

and define the space

$$3.3 \quad \bar{C}^d(\mathbb{R}^k; X) = \{(\zeta, t) \in C^d(\mathbb{R}_t^k; X) \times \mathbb{R}^+\}.$$

Again we have that $\bar{C}^d(\mathbb{R}^k, X) \simeq C^d(\mathbb{R}^k, X)$ and this new modified space has now the structure of an associative topological monoid with a composition law given by juxtaposition

$$C(\mathbb{R}_t^k; X) \times C(\mathbb{R}_{t'}^k; X) \longrightarrow C(\mathbb{R}_{t+t'}^k; X), \quad (\zeta, \zeta') \mapsto (\zeta + T_t \zeta')$$

where T_t is translation $(0, t') \longrightarrow (t, t+t')$. In the case $d = k = 1$ this is the same as the well-known James construction.

Lemma 3.4 ([S2]): *Let $J(X)$ be the free monoid generated by points of X (with $*$ as the zero element). Then there is a homomorphism $\bar{C}(\mathbb{R}, X) \longrightarrow J(X)$ which is a homotopy equivalence.*

PROOF: Here we have

$$\bar{C}(\mathbb{R}, X) = \{(\zeta, t) \in C((0, t); X) \times \mathbb{R}^+\}$$

Let $(\zeta, t) \in \bar{C}(\mathbb{R}, X)$, where ζ is of the form

$$3.5 \quad \zeta = (t_1, \dots, t_n) \times_{\Sigma_n} (x_1, \dots, x_n), \text{ for some } n, 0 < t_i < t.$$

We can then construct the map

$$\tau : \bar{C}(\mathbb{R}, X) \longrightarrow J(X), \quad (\zeta, t) \mapsto x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n.$$

This is well defined for one checks that the identifications are preserved when $x_i = *$. One also checks that this is a homomorphism. Indeed, the pairing μ on $\bar{C}(\mathbb{R}, X)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mu((\zeta, t), (\zeta', t')) &\mapsto (\zeta + T_t \zeta', t + t') \\ \text{where } \zeta + T_t \zeta' &= (t_1, \dots, t_n, t + t'_1, \dots, t + t'_m) \times_{\Sigma_{n+m}} (x_1, \dots, x_n, x'_1, \dots, x'_m). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\tau \mu((\zeta, t), (\zeta', t')) = x_1 + \dots + x_n + x'_1 + \dots + x'_m = \tau \mu(\zeta, t) + \tau \mu(\zeta', t')$ as desired.

We finally need verify that τ is a homotopy equivalence. Notice that the point ζ in 3.5 above can be written as an ordered tuple

$$\zeta = ((t_1, x_1), \dots, (t_n, x_n)), \text{ where } t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_n < t.$$

Consider the map

$$\alpha : \bar{C}(\mathbb{R}, X) \longrightarrow J(X) \times \mathbb{R}^+, (\zeta, t) \mapsto (\tau(\zeta, t), t).$$

Then the preimage of a point $(x_1 + \dots + x_n, t)$ under α is an open simplex

$$\Delta_n = \{(t_1, \dots, t_n), 0 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_n < t\}$$

and this is contractible. This signifies that α is acyclic and hence a homotopy equivalence. It follows that τ is an equivalence and the proof is complete. $\blacksquare$

Group Completion: Since $\bar{C}^d = \bar{C}^d(\mathbb{R}^k; X)$ is a monoid (possibly disconnected), it admits a group completion $\Omega B\bar{C}^d$. A very handy way of describing this group completion in terms of configuration spaces is given as follows.

We shall suppose that X has finitely many components. This implies that $\bar{C}^d(\mathbb{R}^k; X)$ has $\mathbb{Z}^m$ components, with $m = |\pi_0(X)| - 1$. For each $i \leq |\pi_0(X)|$ choose a point p_i in the i -th component of X (you can let basepoint be the point in the component of the identity). Let $\mathbb{R}_i^k$ be as in 3.2 and choose a point $z_i \in \mathbb{R}_{i,i+1}^k = \mathbb{R}_{i+1}^k - \mathbb{R}_i^k$, for all $i \geq 1$. We can then consider the inclusion

$$3.6 \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \bar{C}^d(\mathbb{R}^k; X) & \xrightarrow{\tau_i} & \bar{C}^d(\mathbb{R}^k; X) \\ (\sum(m_r, x_r), i) & \mapsto & (\sum(m_r, x_r) + (z_i, p_i), i+1) \end{array}$$

where $\sum(m_r, x_r) \in \bar{C}^d(\mathbb{R}^k; X)$ is as described in 3.1. The direct limit over these maps is denoted by $\hat{C}^d(\mathbb{R}^k; X)$.

Lemma 3.7: *Let X be CW. Then $H_*(\hat{C}^d(\mathbb{R}^k; X)) \cong H_*(\Omega B\bar{C}^d(\mathbb{R}^k; X))$.*

PROOF: If we let $\pi = H_0(\bar{C}^d)$, then a theorem of Kahn and Priddy states that

$$3.8 \quad H_*(\bar{C}^d)[\pi^{-1}] \cong H_*(\Omega B\bar{C}^d)$$

where the left hand side means localization with respect to the multiplicative set π . The idea here of course is that by inverting π , we are “turning” multiplication by elements of π into isomorphisms. That this is necessary is clear since $\Omega B\bar{C}^d$ is a group and hence the image of π under $M \rightarrow \Omega B\bar{C}^d$ must consist of units.

Now notice that the point $(z_i, p_i) \in \bar{C}^d(\mathbb{R}^k; X)$ constructed above represents a point $e_i \in \pi_0(\bar{C}^d)$. The stabilization maps in 3.6 correspond therefore to maps

$$\hat{C}^d(\mathbb{R}^k; X) \simeq \varinjlim_{e_i \in \pi} \left(\bar{C}^d(\mathbb{R}^k; X) \xrightarrow{\cdot e_i} \bar{C}^d(\mathbb{R}^k; X) \right).$$

and this direct limit (by construction) must satisfy $H_*(\hat{C}^d(\mathbb{R}^k; X)) \cong H_*(\bar{C}^d(\mathbb{R}^k; X))[\pi^{-1}]$. The claim follows from 3.8. $\blacksquare$

§4 The Correspondence and Proofs of Main Theorems

Scanning Labeled Configurations: Details of this construction can be found in [K]. Let M be smooth of dimension k and suppose it is parallelizable. This means among other things that every small (closed) neighborhood of $x \in M$ can be canonically identified (via the exponential map for example) with a (closed) disc D^k . Given a configuration $\zeta = \sum (m_i, x_i) \in C^d(M; X)$, then its “restriction” to a neighborhood $D^k(x) \subset M$ of x gives rise to a new configuration

$$\zeta \cap D^k(x) \in C^d(D^k(x); X).$$

The correspondence $\zeta \mapsto \zeta \cup D^k(x)$ is not continuous as is, however by stipulating that whenever the points m_i in $\zeta = \langle (m_1, x_1), \dots, (m_n, x_n) \rangle$ get close to $\partial D^k(x)$ they are “dropped out”. We then get a quotient map and a correspondence

$$\zeta \in C^d(M; X) \mapsto \zeta_x \in C^d(D^k(x), \partial D^k(x); X)$$

where $C^d(D^k(x), \partial D^k(x); X)$ is the identification space

$$C^d(D^k, \partial D^k; X) = \coprod_n F^d(D^k, n) \times_{\Sigma_n} X^n / \sim, \quad x \in X$$

and $\sim$ consist of the usual basepoint relation together with the additional identification

$$(m_1, \dots, m_n) \times_{\Sigma_n} (x_1, \dots, x_n) \sim (m_1, \dots, \hat{m}_i, \dots, m_n) \times_{\Sigma_n} (x_1, \dots, \hat{x}_i, \dots, x_n)$$

whenever $m_i \in \partial D^k$ (i.e. when points of D^k tend to the boundary they are discarded together with their labels). Notice that $C^d(D^k, \partial D^k; X) \simeq C^d(S^k, *, X)$ and this space has a canonical basepoint which we also write as $*$. Therefore and by scanning every neighborhood $D^k(X)$ of $x \in M$ and identifying canonically the pair $(D^k(x), \partial D^k(x))$ with $(D^k, \partial D^k) = (S^k, *)$ we construct a map

$$S : C^d(M; X) \longrightarrow \text{Map}(M, C^d(S^k, *, X)).$$

If in addition M has a non-empty boundary ∂M , it is still possible to scan labeled configurations away from the boundary so that one obtains a map

$$S : C^d(M; X) \longrightarrow \text{Map}(M/\partial M, C^d(S^k, *, X))$$

where the right hand side is the space of maps sending ∂M to the basepoint in $C^d(S^k, *, X)$.

Lemma 4.1: *There is a homotopy equivalence $C^d(S^k, *, X) \simeq SP^d(\Sigma^k X)$.*

PROOF: This is the analog of the unlabeled case discussed in [K]. Choose $*$ in S^k to be the south pole and let x_0 refer to the north pole. Let U_ϵ be an epsilon neighborhood of $x_0 \in S^k$. Notice that there is a radial homotopy, injective on the interior of U_ϵ that expands the north cap U_ϵ over the sphere and takes ∂U_ϵ to $*$.

Consider at this point the subspace W_ϵ consisting of $(m_1, \dots, m_n) \times_{\Sigma_n} (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ in $C^d(S^k, *, X)$ such that at most d points of $\langle m_1, \dots, m_n \rangle$ lie inside U_ϵ . By definition of $C^d(S^k, *, X)$ each of its elements must fall into a W_ϵ for some ϵ and hence

$$C^d(S^k, *, X) = \bigcup_{\epsilon} W_\epsilon.$$

Now using the radial retraction described above, each labeled configuration $(m_1, \dots, m_n) \times_{\Sigma_n} (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is sent to (at most) a d point configuration which takes the form $\sum_1^d (m_i, x_i)$. The identifications are such $(m, *) \sim *$ and $(*, x) \sim *$ (here the $*$'s refer to the corresponding basepoints in X , S^k and C^d). The configuration $\sum_1^d (m_i, x_i)$ is clearly an element of $SP^d(S^k \wedge X) = SP^d(\Sigma^k X)$ and the lemma follows. $\blacksquare$

Let $C_\infty^d(\mathbb{R}^k; X)$ denote the stabilized space described in 3.6. When X is connected, one has the equivalence $C_\infty^d(\mathbb{R}^k; X) \simeq C^d(\mathbb{R}^k; X)$.

Proposition 4.3: *For X a topological space, scanning induces an (integral) homology equivalence*

$$S_* : H_*(\hat{C}^d(\mathbb{R}^n; X)) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_*(\Omega^n SP^d(\Sigma^n X)).$$

This is a homotopy equivalence whenever X is connected.

PROOF: The proof uses properties of the bifunctor $C^d(-, -)$ (cf. appendix) and is based on an induction on a suitable handle decomposition of D^n (the decomposition as described below is due to Bodigheimer [Bo].)

Let $H^k = D^n = D^k \times D^{n-k}$ and write $A^k = S^{k-1} \times D^{n-k}$ (note that $A^0 = \emptyset$). (H^k is called a handle. Handles make up manifolds by sequences of attachments. the handle H^k has “index” k if A^k is the part of its boundary along which it is to be attached.)

Let $I_k \subset D^n = [0, 1]^n$ denote the subset of $(y^1, \dots, y^n)$ such that $y^i = 0$ or $y^i = 1$ for some $i = 1, \dots, k-1$, or $y^k = 1$ (that is I_k consist of all the boundary faces of $D^k \subset D^n = D^k \times D^{n-k}$ save the face $y^k = 0$). Now let $H_k = [0, 1]^{k-1} \times [0, \frac{1}{2}] \times [0, 1]^{n-k}$. Then there is a cofibration sequence

$$(H_k, H_k \cap I_k) \longrightarrow (D^n, I_k) \longrightarrow (D^n, H_k \cup I_k).$$

The pair $(H_k, H_k \cap I_k)$ can be identified with $(D^n, S^{k-2} \times D^{n-k+1})$ hence representing a $k-1$ -handle (H^{k-1}, A^{k-1}) , while the pair $(D^n, H_k \cup I_k) = (D^n, S^{k-1} \times D^{n-k})$ represents a handle (H^k, A^k) of index k . Applying the functor $C^d(-; X)$ and then scanning yields the homotopy commutative diagram for all $k \geq 0$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C^d(H^k, A^k; X) & \longrightarrow & \Omega^{n-k} SP^d(\Sigma^n X) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ C^d(D^n, I_k; X) & \longrightarrow & PS \\ \downarrow \pi & & \downarrow \\ C^d(H^{k+1}, A^{k+1}; X) & \longrightarrow & \Omega^{n-k-1} SP^d(\Sigma^n X) \end{array}$$

When $k > 0$, $A^k \neq \emptyset$ and proposition A.3 asserts that the left hand side is a quasifibration. In this case one shows (inductively) that $C^d(H^k, A^k; X) \simeq \Omega^{n-k} SP^d(\Sigma^n X)$. When $k = 0$, $A^k = \emptyset$ and we need to pass to group completed spaces $\hat{C}^d$. For that we assume X has finitely many components

first. We then have the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\hat{C}^d(D^n; X) & \longrightarrow & \Omega^n SP^d(\Sigma^n X) \\
\downarrow & \searrow \simeq & \downarrow \\
\hat{C}^d(D^n, *, X) & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & PS \\
\downarrow \pi & & \downarrow \\
C^d(H^1, A^1; X) & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \Omega^{n-1} SP^d(\Sigma^n X)
\end{array}$$

where the left hand side is now a homology fibration (by proposition A.5). Since $\Omega^n SP^d(\Sigma^n X)$ must be the homotopy fiber of $\hat{C}^d(D^n, *, X) \longrightarrow C^d(H^1, A^1; X)$, it follows that $H_*(\hat{C}^d(D^n; X)) \cong H_*(\Omega^n SP^d(\Sigma^n X))$ as asserted.

The case of a general disconnected X is obtained once we observe that X can be described as the direct limit of spaces X_i with $\pi_0(X_i)$ finite. The space $C_\infty^d(D^n; X)$ is then the direct limit of the $C_\infty^d(D^n; X_i)$ and since direct limits commute with homology, the claim follows. ■

Remark 4.4: Proposition 4.3 can be stated with $\mathbb{R}^k$ replaced with any manifold with non-empty boundary or with any open manifold. The proof of the more general statement uses a handle decomposition argument of the manifold M and inductive use of A.5. This yields theorem 1.7 of the introduction.

Corollary 4.5: *For X a topological space, there is a weak homotopy equivalence*

$$B\bar{C}^d(\mathbb{R}^k; X) \simeq \Omega^{k-1} SP^d(\Sigma^k X).$$

PROOF: When X is connected, $\Omega B\bar{C}^d \simeq \bar{C}^d$ and the result follows directly from 4.3. Suppose that X disconnected, hence so is $\bar{C}^d$ as a topological monoid. Assume for now that $\pi_0(X)$ finite and let $\hat{C}^d(\mathbb{R}^k, X)$ be as defined in §3. The following sequence of isomorphisms

$$H_*(\Omega B\bar{C}^d) \xleftarrow{3.7} H_*(\hat{C}^d(\mathbb{R}^k; X)) \xrightarrow{4.3} H_*(\Omega^k SP^d(\Sigma^k X))$$

shows that $H_*(\Omega B\bar{C}^d) \cong H_*(\Omega^k SP^d(\Sigma^k X))$. This implies that

$$H_*(B\bar{C}^d) \cong H_*(\Omega^{k-1} SP^d(\Sigma^k X)).$$

Observe that the fundamental groups map isomorphically

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi_1(B\bar{C}^d) \cong \pi_0(\bar{C}^d) &\cong \mathbb{Z}^{|\pi_0(X)|-1} \cong \tilde{H}_0(X) = H_k(\Sigma^k X) \cong H_k(SP^d(\Sigma^k X)) \\
&\cong \pi_k(SP^d(\Sigma^k X)) = \pi_1(\Omega^{k-1} SP^d(\Sigma^k X))
\end{aligned}$$

and the claim follows from Whitehead's criterion.

To treat the general case of $\pi_0(X)$ not necessarily finite, we write again $\bar{C}_\infty^d(\mathbb{R}^k; X)$ as a direct limit of spaces $\bar{C}_\infty^d(\mathbb{R}^k; X_i)$ for some X_i with finite π_0 . Since homotopy groups commute with direct limits, the theorem follows. ■

§5 A Theory of Infinite Loop Spaces

In this section we describe a construction of K. Lesh (cf. [L1-2]) which associates to a (compatible) family of groups an infinite loop space. We then describe how our labeled construction fits in and prove proposition 1.12 of the introduction.

Let G be a group and let $\mathcal{F}$ be a collection of subgroups of G which is closed under conjugation; meaning that

- If $H \in \mathcal{F}$ and $g \in G$, then $g^{-1}Hg \in \mathcal{F}$
- If $H \in \mathcal{F}$ and K a subgroup of H , then $K \in \mathcal{F}$.

Such a collection is called a *family*.

The prototypical example of a family would be to take all subgroups of a group G (a variant is to consider only the finite subgroups). A less trivial example would be to consider the family of elementary abelian p -subgroups of Σ_n which are generated by disjoint p -cycles together with their subgroups. This family is studied extensively in [L1].

It turns out that to a family $\mathcal{F}$ of subgroups of a group G there is associated a *classifying* space $B\mathcal{F}$ by work of T. tom Dieck. More precisely, tom Dieck constructs a G -space $E\mathcal{F}$ with the property that the fixed point set $E\mathcal{F}^H$ of $H \subset G$ is such that

$$E\mathcal{F}^H \simeq * \text{ for } H \in \mathcal{F}, \text{ and } E\mathcal{F}^H = \emptyset \text{ for } H \notin \mathcal{F}$$

Note that $E\mathcal{F}$ is always contractible since $* \in \mathcal{F}$ for any family. Naturally one then defines the classifying space $B\mathcal{F}$ to be the orbit space of the G action on $E\mathcal{F}$.

EXAMPLE 5.1: Let $\mathcal{F}$ consists only of the trivial subgroup in G . Then $E\mathcal{F} = EG$.

We now specialize to the symmetric groups Σ_n and we suppose that for each n we are given a family $\mathcal{F}_n$ of subgroups for $G = \Sigma_n$. We recall that given two subgroups $H \in \Sigma_n$ and $K \in \Sigma_m$, we have a group $H \times K \in \Sigma_{n+m}$ obtained as the image of the composite

$$H \times K \hookrightarrow \Sigma_n \times \Sigma_m \hookrightarrow \Sigma_{n+m}.$$

DEFINITION 5.2: The families $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}_{n \in \mathbf{Z}^+}$ are *compatible* if whenever $H \in \mathcal{F}_n$ and $K \in \mathcal{F}_m$, then $H \times K \in \mathcal{F}_{n+m}$.

Theorem 5.3 (Lesh): *Let $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}_{n \in \mathbf{Z}^+}$ be a compatible choice of families, then $\coprod B\mathcal{F}_n$ has a monoid structure whose group completion is an infinite loop space $L\mathcal{F}$. Such a space comes equipped with (natural) maps*

$$QS^0 \longrightarrow L\mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}.$$

EXAMPLE 5.4: Let $\mathcal{F}_n$ be the family consisting of the trivial subgroup in Σ_n . Then $B\mathcal{F}_n = B\Sigma_n$ and so $L\mathcal{F}$ in this case is the group completion of $\coprod B\Sigma_n$ which is known to correspond by a theorem of Barratt-Priddy and Quillen to the infinite loop space QS^0 .

We now relate the above constructions to the spaces $C^d(\mathbb{R}^\infty, n)$ and their stable version $C^d(\mathbb{R}^\infty)$ constructed in §3. Given $n \geq 1$ we consider the following subgroups of Σ_n ;

$$H_{i_1, \dots, i_k} = \Sigma_{i_1} \times \Sigma_{i_2} \times \cdots \times \Sigma_{i_k} \subset \Sigma_n, \quad i_j \leq n \text{ and } i_1 + i_2 + \cdots + i_k = n.$$

Each such subgroup $H_{i_1, \dots, i_k}$ acts on $F^d(\mathbb{R}^\infty, n)$ by permuting points. Let

$$\mathcal{F}_n^d = \{H_{i_1, \dots, i_k} \mid i_j \leq d, \quad i_1 + i_2 + \cdots + i_k = n, \text{ together with their subgroups}\}.$$

It is not hard to see that $\mathcal{F}_n^d$ satisfies the conditions of a family, and that the newly obtained families $\{\mathcal{F}_n^d\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^+}$ form a compatible collection.

Lemma 5.5: $E\mathcal{F}_n^d \simeq F^d(\mathbb{R}^\infty, n)$.

PROOF: Pick $H = H_{i_1, \dots, i_k} \in \mathcal{F}_n^d$ ($i_j \leq d$ and $\sum i_j = n$.) Then

$$(E\mathcal{F}_n^d)^{H_{i_1, \dots, i_k}} = \left\{ \underbrace{(x_1, \dots, x_1)}_{i_1}, \underbrace{(x_2, \dots, x_2)}_{i_2}, \dots, \underbrace{(x_k, \dots, x_k)}_{i_k} \mid x_i \in \mathbb{R}^\infty \right\}$$

where the x_i need not be distinct. If we let $X(\mathbb{R}^j)$ be the subset of $(E\mathcal{F}_n^d)^H$ consisting of the $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^j \subset \mathbb{R}^\infty$, then we see that $X(\mathbb{R}^j)$ is open in $\mathbb{R}^{jn}$ and is the complement of hyperplanes of codimension at least j (implying in particular that it is $j - 2$ connected). Since $(E\mathcal{F}_n^d)^H$ is the direct limit of $X(\mathbb{R}^j) \hookrightarrow X(\mathbb{R}^{j+1})$ it must be contractible and $(E\mathcal{F}_n^d)^H \simeq *$ as desired. What is left to show is that the fixed point set of $H \notin \mathcal{F}_n^d$ is empty. Observe that any such H must contain a cycle on (at least) $d + 1$ letters. The fixed points of such a cycle consists of configurations containing a $d + 1$ (or maybe more) repeated point. Such a configuration cannot exist in $F^d(\mathbb{R}^\infty, n)$ (by definition) and $(E\mathcal{F}^d)^H = \emptyset$. ■

Proposition 5.6: For all $d \geq 1$, we have the following equivalence

$$B \left(\coprod_{n \geq 1} B\mathcal{F}_n^d \right) \simeq \Omega^{\infty-1} SP^d(S^\infty).$$

PROOF: Theorem 1.8 still holds true for $k = \infty$ by a direct limit argument. On the other hand, 5.5 implies that

$$\coprod_{n \geq 1} B\mathcal{F}_n^d = \coprod_{n \geq 1} C^d(\mathbb{R}^\infty, n) = C^d(\mathbb{R}^\infty; S^0) \simeq \bar{C}^d(\mathbb{R}^\infty; S^0)$$

and the claim follows. ■

Appendix: Properties of the Bifunctor $C^d(-, -)$

We here summarize the most useful properties of these functors. The details as well as a more extensive study of a more general class of functors (so-called *particle functors*) are given in [K].

Definition: Let $f : X \longrightarrow Y$ be a map of CW complexes. For $x \in Y$, let ι_x denote the homotopy inclusion of the preimage $f^{-1}(x)$ into the homotopy fiber. Then

- f is a quasifibration if ι_x is a homotopy equivalence ($\forall x$)
- f is a homology equivalence if ι_x induces an isomorphism in homology groups ($\forall x$).

Let now M be an n -dimensional manifold, M_0 a closed submanifold and $A \subset M$ a closed subset. We assume that N has a tubular neighborhood U which retracts to it (if it is a submanifold for example). We also assume throughout that M and N are connected. The following sequence of quotient maps

$$\text{A.1} \quad (N, N \cap M_0) \longrightarrow (M, M_0) \longrightarrow (M, N \cup M_0)$$

forms then a cofibration. Applying $C^d(-; X)$ to all terms of A.1 yields

$$\text{A.2} \quad C^d(N, N \cap M_0; X) \longrightarrow C^d(M, M_0; X) \longrightarrow C^d(M, N \cup M_0; X)$$

One of the main results about the functor $C^d(-)$ is that

Theorem A.3: *Let N, M, M_0 be as above. If either X is connected or $N \cap M_0 \neq \emptyset$, then the sequence A.2 is a quasifibration.*

A short proof of this theorem can be found in [Bo] (for the case $d = 1$). We are here concerned with the case when $N \cap M_0 = \emptyset$ or X is disconnected. Let's assume $X = S^0$ for now and let's consider the sequence

$$C^d(N) \longrightarrow C^d(M, M_0) \longrightarrow C^d(M, N \cup M_0).$$

This turns out not to have any particular structure. However by passing to group completed space $\hat{C}^d$ as defined in §3 we can show

Proposition A.4: *Let M and N be connected manifolds, $N \subset M$, $M_0 \subset M$ and $N \cap M_0 = \emptyset$. Assume N has an end (or a boundary). Then*

$$\hat{C}^d(N) \longrightarrow \hat{C}^d(M, M_0) \longrightarrow C^d(M, N \cup M_0)$$

is a quasifibration if $d > 1$ and a homology fibration if $d = 1$

SKETCH OF PROOF: The point here is that showing the sequence of spaces above is a homology fibration (resp. a quasifibration) boils down to showing that maps

$$\hat{C}^d(N) \xrightarrow{+} \hat{C}^d(N)$$

given by adjoining a given set of configurations is a homology equivalence (resp. a weak homotopy equivalence). Because of the very construction of $\hat{C}$, adding configurations simply switches components and since these components are the same, “addition” induces a homology equivalence. This is not (necessarily) a homotopy equivalence since there is no obvious map backwards (“subtraction”) which when composed with addition induces the identity on components. When $d > 1$ the fundamental group of $C^d(N)$ abelianizes and this is enough to induce a homotopy equivalence. For details the reader can consult [K]. ■

Proposition A.5: *We let $M = \mathbb{R}^n$, $N, M_0 \subset M$ such that $M \cap N = \emptyset$. Let X be CW with a finite number of components. Then the following is a homology fibration*

$$\hat{C}^d(M; X) \longrightarrow \hat{C}^d(M, M_0; X) \longrightarrow C^d(M, M_0 \cup N; X).$$

References

- [1] [B] C. Berger, “Opérades cellulaires et espaces de lacets itérés”, Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble **46** 4 (1996) 1125–1157.
- [2] [Bo] C.F. Bodigheimer, “Stable splittings of mapping spaces”, Algebraic topology, Proc. Seattle (1985), Springer lecture notes **1286**, 174–187.
- [3] [CM] G. Carlsson, R.J. Milgram, “Stable homotopy and iterated loop spaces”, Handbook of algebraic topology, Elsevier 1995.
- [4] [D] A. Dold, “Decomposition theorems of symmetric products and other functors of complexes”, Ann. Math. **68** (1958), 54–80.
- [5] [GKY] M.A. Guest, A. Kosłowski, K. Yamaguchi, “The space of polynomials with roots of bounded multiplicity”, preprint math.AT/9807053 (archives).
- [6] [K], S. Kallel, “Particle spaces and generalized Poincaré Dualities”, preprint 98, math.AT/9810067 (archives).
- [7] [Kr] D. Karagueuzian, Thesis, Stanford University 1994.
- [8] [KP] D. Kahn, S. Priddy, “On the homology of non-connected monoids and their associated groups”, Comment. Math. Helv. **47** (1972) 1–14.
- [9] [L1] K. Lesh, “Infinite loop spaces from group theory”, Math. Z. **225** (1997), no.3, 467–483.
- [10] [L2] K. Lesh, “Identification of infinite loop spaces arising from group theory”, preprint 97.
- [11] [MS] D. McDuff, G. Segal, “Homology fibrations and the group completion theorem”, Invent. Math. **31** (1976), 279–284.
- [12] [Mi] R.J. Milgram, “Iterated loop spaces”, Annals of Math.(2) **84** 1966, 386–403.
- [13] [Ma] J.P. May, “The geometry of iterated loop spaces”, Springer lecture notes, **271** (1972).
- [14] [S1] G. Segal, “The topology of spaces of rational functions”, Acta. Math., **143**(1979), 39–72.
- [15] [S2] G. Segal, “Configuration spaces and iterated loop spaces”, Invent. Math., **21**(1973), 213–221.

Sadok Kallel

Dept. of Math., #121-1884 Mathematics Road

U. of British Columbia, Vancouver V6T 1Z2

EMAIL: skallel@math.ubc.ca